SA INDIA

Phone: 23710519

Fax: (91-11) 23730937, 23730682

वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान परिषद्

अनुसंधान भवन, 2, रफी मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

COUNCIL OF SCIENTIFIC & INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH

Anusandhan Bhawan, 2, Rafi Marg, New Delhi-110001

संख्या

No.

15-6(83)/98-ओ. एंड एम (वाल्यूम IV)

दिनांकित: 08 मई, 2013

प्रे षक

From

मुख्य सतर्कता अधिकारी Chief Vigilance Officer

To

सीएसआईआर के सभी राष्ट्रीय प्रयोगशालाओं/संस्थानों के निदेशक/प्रधान (The Directors/Heads of all National Labs./Instts. of CSIR)

विषय: अनुशासनिक मामलों से संबंधित जानकारी का सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के तहत प्रकटीकरण के संबंध में दिल्ली उच्च न्यायालय का आदेश दिनांक 06.11.2012 (Decision dated 06.11.2012 of the Delhi High Court on disclosure of information under the provisions of Right to Information Act, 2005, relating to disciplinary matters)।

महोदय/Sir,

उपरोक्त विषय पर केन्द्रीय सतर्कता आयोग से प्राप्त परिपत्र सं. CVC/RTI/Misc/10/002, दिनांकित 04.04.2013 की एक प्रति मैं इस आशय के साथ अग्रेषित कर रही हूँ कि दिल्ली उच्च न्यायालय द्वारा अनुशासनिक मामलों से संबंधित जानकारी के प्रकटीकरण के संदर्भ में जारी आदेश दिनांक 06.11.2012 को आप अपने प्रयोगशाला/संस्थान में नियुक्त जनसूचना अधिकारी तथा अपीली प्राधिकारी के संज्ञान में लायें (I am forwarding herewith a copy of circular No. CVC/RTI/Misc/10/2002, dated 04.04.2004, received from Central Vigilance Commission, with the purpose to bring the decision of the Delhi High Court on disclosure of information regaring disciplinary matters, to the notice of Public Information Officer and Appellate Authority appointed at your Lab/Instt.)।

भवदीया/Yours faithfully,

्रेनुः रिन ह (सुषमा सिंह) मुख्य सतर्कता अधिकारी

प्रतिलिपि:

- 1. सभी राष्ट्रीय प्रयोगशालाओं/संस्थानों के वरि. प्रशासन नियंत्रक/प्रशासन नियंत्रक/प्रशासनिक अधिकारी
- 2. महानिदेशक, सीएसआईआर का कार्यालय
- 3. संयुक्त सचिव (प्रशासन), सीएसआईआर तथा अपीली प्राधिकारी
- 4. वित्त सलाहकार, सीएसआईआर
- 5. केन्द्रीय जनसूचना अधिकारी, सीएसआईआर
- स्चना प्रौद्योगिकी प्रभाग :- इस परिपत्र को सीएसआईआर की बेवसाईट पर अपलोड करने हेत्।
- 7. कार्यालय प्रति

RTI MATTER MOST IMMEDIATE/OUT TODAY



TelegraphicAddress: "SATARKTA: New Delhi

E-Mail Address cenvigil@nic.in

Website www.cvc.nic.in-

EPABX 24651001 - 07

फेक्स/Fax : 24616286

केन्द्रीय सतर्कता आयोग CENTRAL VIGILANCE COMMISSION



सतर्कता भवन, जी.पी.ओ. कॉम्पलैक्स, ब्लॉक-ए, आई.एन.ए., नई दिल्ली-110023 Satarkta Bhawan, G.P.O. Complex, Block A, INA, New Delhi 110023 CVC/RTI/Misc/10/002

H./ No

04.04.2013

दिनांक / Dated.....

Sub: Delhi High Court's decision in LPA No. 618/2012 dated 06.11.2012 in the matter of disclosure of information under the provisions of RTI Act, relating to disciplinary matters.

The attention of the CVOs concerned is drawn to the Judgement/Order passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 06.11.2012 in LPA No. 618/2012 in case of Union Public Service Commission Vs R. K. Jain, in which the issue of disclosure of information/documents under the provisions of RTI Act, pertaining to vigilance/disciplinary proceedings has been considered by the Hon'ble Court.

2. The Hon'ble Court in its Judgement, had observed that:

"The counsel for the respondent has argued that in the case before the Supreme Court the CIC itself had denied the information while in the present case CIC itself has allowed the information. To our mind the same is irrelevant. The counsel for the respondent has next sought to take us through the reasoning given by the learned Single Judge. However, in the light of the dicta aforesaid of the Supreme Court and which if applicable to the facts of the present case is binding on this Bench, we are not required to go into the correctness or otherwise of the reasoning given by the learned Single Judge. Faced therewith the counsel for the respondent has lastly contended that the appellant UPSC in the present case is not the employer of the officer Shri G.S. Narang, information pertaining to whom was sought and the principle laid down by the Supreme Court is applicable to the employer only. We however fail to see the difference. The ratio of the dicta aforesaid of the Supreme Court is that the disciplinary orders and the documents in the course of disciplinary proceedings are personal information within the meaning of Section 8(1)(j) and the disclosure of which normally has no relationship to any public activities or public interest and disclosure of which would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of an individual. Though the appellant UPSC is not the employer of Shri G.S. Narang, information pertaining to whom is sought by the respondent, but his employer had sought the advice/opinion/recommendation of the appellant UPSC in the matter of disciplinary proceedings against the said Shri G.S. Narang and we fail to see as to how it makes a difference whether the information relating to disciplinary proceedings is sought from the employer or from the consultant of the employer. What is exempt in the hands of the employer would certainly be exempt in the hands of consultant of the employer also. The advice given by the appellant UPSC would necessarily pertain to the disciplinary action against Shri G.S. Narang. Section 8(1)(j) exempts from disclosure personal information, irrespective of with whom it is possessed and from whom disclosure thereof is sought".

"The respondent at no stage set-up a case of the said personal information being required in public interest. In fact when we asked the counsel for the respondent as to what was the public interest in which the said personal information was sought, he replied by stating that an information seeker under the Act is not required to state the reasons for seeking the information. That being the position, the need for any discussion further on the said aspect does not arise".

"We therefore, following the dicta in Girish Ramchandra Deshpande, set aside the judgment dated 13th july, 2012 of the learned Single Judge and allow the writ petition preferred by the appellant UPSC, consequently setting aside the order dated 12th January, 2011 of the CIC".

- 3. The CVOs may bring the above quoted Judgement/Order of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi to the notice of the all CPIOs/Appellate Authorities of their respective organization, who may take due cognizance of the same, while deciding the RTI Applications and Appeals relating to disclosure of documents/information pertaining to vigilance/disciplinary proceedings (including Orders of the Disciplinary Authority).
- 4. The complete decision of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in the aforementioned case is available on its website <u>www.delhihighcourt.nic.in</u> in downloadable form under the head "JUDGEMENTS".

[Rajiv Verma] Under Secretary & 'Nodal' CPIO Tele.:24651081

To,

All Chief Vigilance Officers