

जयेश के. उन्नीकृष्णनन् <sup>विधि</sup> सलाहकार JAYESH K. UNNIKRISHNAN LEGAL ADVISER वैज्ञानिक तथा औद्योगिक अनुसंधान परिषद् अनुसंधान भवन, 2, रफी मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001 COUNCIL OF SCIENTIFIC & INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH Anusandhan Bhawan, 2, Rafi Marg, New Delhi-110001

> 36-2(230)2005-Law Dated, the 3<sup>rd</sup> May, 2017 **TOP PRIORITY**

Heads of all the National Labs./Instts.

## Re: D.O. letter No. N-17/13/2017-NM received from Hon'ble Minister of Law, Justice,Electronics and IT to the Hon'ble Minister for Science & Technology and Earth Sciences

#### Sub:- Reduction in pending court cases

Sir,

Kind attention is invited to the above referred communication from the Hon'ble Minister of Law, Justice, Electronics and IT to the Hon'ble Minister of Science & Technology and Earth Science on reduction in pending court cases. A copy of said communication and reply dated 26.4.2017 given by Hon'ble Minister for S&T to the Hon'ble Minister of Law & Justice , which is self explanatory, is enclosed herewith.

In pursuance of the said communication, the DGCSIR has desired to review all pending legal cases and to submit a report within a week, on status and action planned for Special Arrears Clearance Drives".

It is not out of place to mention here that from time to time, Labs/Instts. have been requested to take all necessary steps for effective conduct of litigation in CSIR and to reduce /mitigate in litigation.

In view of the above, you are requested to send, the report/statement of present status of all pending court cases in your Lab./Instts., as per the Format attached herewith.

THIS MAY KINDLY BE TREATED ON TOP PRIORITY.

Yours faithfully

yesh K. Unikrishanan) Legal Adviser

Encls : as above

Cc: US to DG- for information of DGCSIR please.





D.O. No. 17477 M (S&T&ES)20/7

मंत्री विज्ञान और प्रौद्योगिकी एवं पृथ्वी विज्ञान भारत सरकार नई दिल्ली - 110001

MINISTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY AND EARTH SCIENCES GOVERNMENT OF INDIA NEW DELHI - 110001

2 6 APR 2017

Dear Shri Prasad ji,

1 50

I am in receipt of your letter DO No.N-17/13/2017-NM regarding details of reduction in pending court cases.

I am forwarding this to our Departments for necessary action. With regards, Yours sincerely, VIP-Ref. 10/5/17. Sd/-(Dr. Harsh Vardhan) Shri Ravi Shankar Prasad Minister of Law & Justice and Electronics & IT, Govt. of India Room No.402, A Wing, Shastri Bhawan New Delhi - 110001. Copy to Secretary, DST/DBT/MoES & DG, CSIR for necessary action. 1. JS(A) 2. LA TODAT Resport of TODAT V.JMP. GURGENT (Sadanand) APS to Minister S&T & ES grie me a report on Bratus & cchoi/s planned ASAF pref. inthis a week is any Case. Gschi

209, अनुसंधान भवन, 2, रफी मार्ग, नई दिल्ली–110001 दूरभाष : +91–11–23316766, 23714230; फैक्स : +91–11–23316745 209, Anusandhan Bhawan, 2, Rafi Marg, New Delhi-110001 Ph.: +91-11-23316766, 23714230; Fax: +91-11-23316745

-, Dh/o ; follow up

# रविशंकर प्रसाद RAVI SHANKAR PRASAD



मंत्री विधि एवं न्याय और इलेक्ट्रॉनिकी और सूचना प्रौद्योगिकी भारत सरकार MINISTER OF LAW & JUSTICE and ELECTRONICS & IT GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

## D.O.No.N-17/13/2017-NM



## Dear Dr. Harsh Vardhan Ji,

Hon'ble Prime Minister while addressing the function to mark the 50<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of the establishment of the High Court of Delhi highlighted the emerging challenges before the Judiciary especially exponential increase in the pendency of court cases and called for preparation of a roadmap for the future. Though disposal of cases is within the domain of the judiciary, the Government has been taking various steps to help reducing arrears and backlog of cases in courts. However, there is no significant impact on pendency and arrears.

> 3. I understand that every Government Department has in place its own mechanism to handle various kinds of disputes and monitoring of cases pending before various courts/authorities/tribunals, etc. as per the requirement. Now, we need to make these mechanisms more effective and efficient, so that pendency of cases in all courts is reduced. Every matter needs to be looked into in depth in consultation with experts and concerned before going to litigation. The government must cease to be a compulsive litigant, and executive power should be made use to reduce the grievance of the future litigant. Before initiating any litigation, the matter must be placed before the competent authority. It will be his responsibility to endeavor to see whether the litigation can be avoided. If litigation cannot be avoided, then alternative dispute resolution methods like mediation must be

:: 2 ::

considered. Filing of new cases against state governments or its bodies or government staff may be discouraged, and recourse to litigation may be adopted only as a last resort. As frequent adjournments are resorted to by Government Counsels, unnecessary and frequent adjournments should be frowned upon, and infractions dealt with seriously.

4. Each Ministry/Department should chalk out an 'Action Plan for Special Arrears Clearance Drives' to reduce the number of court cases by following various methods mentioned in the above and implement the plan on Mission Mode. All these efforts should yield in a significant reduction in a total number of court cases in each Ministry/Department. Each Ministry/Department should also send a Quarterly Report on reduction in total number of court cases withdrawn/settled/disposed as per the Annexure enclosed. This report should contain details of reduction in court cases in your Ministry/Department including Autonomous Bodies, Subordinate or Attached Offices as per the enclosed format. I am directing Secretary, Department of Justice to hold meetings with all Ministries/Departments to review this matter regularly.

5. I shall be grateful if you kindly send action taken in this regard at the earliest.

With regards

Yours sincerely

(Ravi Shankar Prasad)

Encl.: As above

Dr. Harsh Vardhan, Minister of Science & Technology and Earth Sciences, Technology Bhawan, Mehrauli Road, <u>New Delhi - 110 016</u>.

#### DETAILS OF REDUCTION IN PENDING COURT CASES

#### Name of the Central/State Ministry /Department:

1. Total existing Number of Courts cases as on **31-3-**2017

2. How many court cases have been reduced after review during the last quarter ending (March, June, September, December)

 How many cases have been withdrawn after Executive Order or following ADR methods such as mediation etc./ reduction in cost of fighting case / relief given to employees or private individual/ agencies Supreme Court/High Court/CAT/ District or Subordinate Courts

Supreme Court/High Court/CAT/ District or Subordinate Courts

Supreme Court/High Court/CAT/ District or Subordinate Courts

[Note:- Separate information on Autonomous Bodies/Subordinate Offices/ Attached Offices etc. may be sent in the similar format]