Minutes of the Evaluation Committee to evaluate EOIs for the e-Tender "Procurement of Consultancy Services for handling of Intellectual Property abroad in all jurisdictions & related services"

The Evaluation Committee comprising of the following members met on May 8 at 2.30 pm and on May 14, 2024 at 2.30 pm at Conference Room, IPU, CSIR:

- 1. Shri Mukesh Pund, Chief Scientist, NIScPR Chairman
- 2. Dr Yogesh Dhoble, Sr. Principal Scientist Member
- 3. Dr. Lipika Patnaik, Sr. Principal Scientist -Member
- 4. Er. Ajayta Aggarwal, Sr. Scientist-Member
- 5. Shri Satish Chandra, SPO, CSIR-Member
- 6. F&AO, CSIR-IPU-Member
- 7. US, CSIR-IPU Convener

The Committee scrutinized all the eight (08) EOIs received from IP Firms for the e-Tender "Procurement of Consultancy Services for handling of Intellectual Property abroad in all jurisdictions & related services" and the details are as follow:

- A. 05 EOIs [out of eight] were received on the CPP Portal on/before the last date and time of submission i.e. 29.04.2024 at 5.00 P.M. are as follow:
 - 1. M/s. Remfry & Sagar;
 - 2. M/s. Rahul Chaudhry & Partners:
 - M/s. Lakshmikumaran & Sridharan;
 - 4. M/s. K&S Partners:
 - 5. M/s. S. Majumdar & Co.
- B. 03 EOIs [out of eight] which were received after the last date and time of submission i.e. 29.04.2024 at 5.00 P.M. are as follow:
 - 1. M/s. Lex Orbis Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. On 01.05.2024 as a hard copy (by hand)
 - 2. M/s. Khurana & Khurana On 30.04.2024; as a hard copy (by hand)
 - 3. M/s. United & United On 29.04.2024 at 5.59 P.M.; on E-mail

Three Firms mentioned in para B had requested to consider their EOIs as they were unable to upload the same on the Portal within the stipulated time of submission due to technical issues.

A STANK

Relli Of

Djough

Anthorn Vicalistan

The Evaluation Committee recommended that these EOIs which were received after the last date and time of submission in any mode [e-portal/ hard copy/e-mail] as **Non-responsive** based on the following clauses mentioned in the REOI document:

- i. Clause 8.1 of the REOI document- Relationship between Consultant and eProcurement Portal "The procuring entity is neither a party nor a principal in the
 relationship between the consultant and the organization hosting the eprocurement portal. Consultants must comply with the rules, conditions,
 regulations, procedures and implied conditions/agreements of the e-procurement
 portal, including registration, compatible Digital Signature (DSC) etc. Consultants
 shall settle clarifications and disputes, if any, regarding the portal directly with
 them".
- ii. Clause 8.3.1 Submission/Uploading to the Portal-1) "EOIs must be uploaded on the eProcurement Portal mentioned in Section II: Appendix until the submission deadline. No manual EOIs shall neither be made available nor accepted for submission. EOI submitted through modalities other than those stipulated in Section II: Appendix shall be liable to rejected as nonresponsive" and;
- iii. Clause 8.3.1 Submission/Uploading to the Portal-3) "The date and time of the e-Procurement server clock, which is also displayed on the dashboard of the consultants shall be taken as the reference time for closing of EOI submission.

 Failure or defects on the internet or heavy traffic at the server shall not be accepted as reason for a complaint. The procuring Entity shall not be responsible for any failure, malfunction or breakdown of the electronic system used during the e-Tender process."

The Committee evaluated the 05 EOIs [mentioned in Para A] which were received within the stipulated date and time as per the requirement of the following section and Forms mentioned in the REOI document:

Section III: Qualification Criteria

Form 2.1: Performance Capability statement;

Form 2.2: Financial Capability statements

Form 2.2.1: Financial Statement and;

Form 2.2.2: Average Annual Turnover.

Rew Gong

्रिमिक्सी फरेबीबीर्म करिक्क्य

Form 2.2.2: Average Annual Turnover.

The detailed evaluation of the 05 EOI Firms based on the above parameters is enclosed as Annexure- I. Based on the detailed evaluation and as per the terms & conditions of the REOI, Evaluation Committee recommended the following four (04) IP Firms as **Responsive and shortlisted**:

- 1. M/s. Remfry & Sagar;
- 2. M/s. Rahul Chaudhry & Partners;
- 3. M/s. Lakshmikumaran & Sridharan;
- 4. M/s. K&S Partners.

Evaluation Committee recommended IP Firm M/s. Majumdar & Co to be **Non-responsive** as it does not meet the requirement of Minimum Average Annual Turnover mentioned in Form 2.2.2 under Section III: "Qualification Criteria"; Criteria 2: "Financial Capability".

Further, the name and addresses of the Four (04) shortlisted IP firms to be published in the CPP Portal, Notice Board and CSIR website.

(Satish Kumar) Member

Ajayta Agarwal)

(Neena Seth) Member

(Lipika Patnaik) Member (Satish Chandra) Member

(Yogesh Dhoble) Member

> (Mukesh A. Pund) Chairman

Head, CSIR IPV

20/5/2024

Simple					Evalua	tion as	Evaluation as per Section 3 - Qualification Criteria of EO	ation Cri	teria of EOI					
Figure 1 Figure 1 Figure 2 Figure 3 Figure 3 Figure 4 Figure 3 Figure 4	Parameters	Symbols/Titles		Forms in EOI			Remfry & Sagar		Rahul Chaudhry & Partr	rers	Lakshmikumaran & Sridl	haran	K & S Partners	
Section Sect					Facts	Pass/Fail	Facts	Pass/Fail	Facts	Pass/Fail		Pass/Fail	Facts	Pass/Fail
Since Communication Comm	Type of IP Firm	ı	ı	Form 1.2	Partnership Firm		Partnership Firm		Solo Proprietorship		Partnership Firm		Partnership Firm	
Since 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Start-up	1		Form 1.2	NA		NA		NA		NA		NA	
Separation Services Service	Total Experience	alpha (α)	10 Years	Form 1.1	30+ Years [started in 1993 as proprietor ship; March 2018 partnership Firm	Pass	197 Years partnership since 2001 (23 years)	Pass	40 + Years	Pass	38 Years	Pass	30 Years	Pass
Gamma Mail Story	Relevant Experience	Beta (β)	8 Years	Form 1.1	30+ Years	Pass	More than 10 years (total 197 years)	Pass	40 + Years	Pass	20 years in IPR	Pass	30 Years	Pass
Elegic S Form 2.1 More thanks 5 as per the lat provident Pass Chem 2.5	Payment received for substantially completed assignment [optional]	Сатта (у)	%08	t	5 Completed Assignments are given by the Firms	Pass	5 Completed Assignments are given by the Firms	Pass	5 Completed Assignments are given by the Firms		5 Completed Assignments are given by the Firms	Pass	5 Completed Assignments are given by the Firms	Pass
Fig. (1)	Number of Consultancy Assignments	delta (5)	ß	Form 2.1	More than 5 as per the list provided; total proposals 24800	Pass	Over 25	Pass	10+	Pass	312 (more than 5 as per the list provided)	Pass	More than 5 as per the list provided; total proposals 52500	Pass
The big (b) Each of the big (b) Final (b) Final (c) Final (c) <td>Number of similar assignments out of Consultancy Assignments</td> <td>Εta (ε)</td> <td>S</td> <td>Form 2.1</td> <td>More than 5 as per the list provided; total proposals 24800</td> <td>Pass</td> <td>Over 25</td> <td>Pass</td> <td>10+</td> <td>Pass</td> <td>312 (more than 5 as per the list provided)</td> <td>Pass</td> <td>More than 5 as per the list provided; total proposals 6500</td> <td>Pass</td>	Number of similar assignments out of Consultancy Assignments	Εta (ε)	S	Form 2.1	More than 5 as per the list provided; total proposals 24800	Pass	Over 25	Pass	10+	Pass	312 (more than 5 as per the list provided)	Pass	More than 5 as per the list provided; total proposals 6500	Pass
Figure 10 60% Form 2 40.12 (199%) Pass 236 Cr(100%) Pass Form 2 Pass	Avg Annual Turnover	Theta (9)	More than 48 Cr		40.13 Cr	Fail	296.80 Cr	Pass	81.90 Cr	Pass	328.71 Cr	Pass	147.17 Cr	Pass
Second	Percentage of Turnover from Consultancy services	карра (к)	%05	Form 2	40.12 (99%)	Pass	296 Cr (100%)	Pass	81.90 Cr (100%)	Pass	325.88 Cr (99%)	Pass	144.36 Cr (98%)	Pass
Case (g) 21% From 12 Not Applicable Not Applicable <th< td=""><td>Years for calculating Turnover</td><td>lambda (A)</td><td>5 years on Page No. 24; 3 years on Form 2.2.2</td><td></td><td></td><td>Pass</td><td>ဗ</td><td>Pass</td><td>3 and 5 both</td><td>Pass</td><td>ဗ</td><td>Pass</td><td>3</td><td>Pass</td></th<>	Years for calculating Turnover	lambda (A)	5 years on Page No. 24; 3 years on Form 2.2.2			Pass	ဗ	Pass	3 and 5 both	Pass	ဗ	Pass	3	Pass
Converige Letter — OK OK OK OK Information — — OK OK OK OK Designation of Assignments Projects — OM OK OK OK Administration of Assignments Projects Outsidence OK OK OK OK Contraction of Assignments Projects OCA perallist OK OK OK OK Flenancial Capability — — CA certified Statement Not Given OK OK OK Statement Statement Not Given — OK OK OK OK Chick Ist — — OK OK OK OK Statement Not Given — OK OK OK OK OK Chick Ist — Font I Anniel Assignment Not Given OK OK OK Chick Ist — — OK OK OK OK Chick Ist — OK OK OK	Relaxation for Start-up	zeta (ξ)	20%	Form 1.2	Not Applicable		Not Applicable		Not Applicable		Not Applicable		Not Applicable	
Consisting to Correlated to Conference Conferenc	Form 1	Covering Letter	1	ī	OK		Ж		УÓ		УÓ		ЖО	
Declaration	Form 1,1	Consultant	1	1	OK		OK		¥		Ϋ́		OK	
Authorisezion La definication la conference a conference de authorise facilità de la conference d'ambification	Form 1.2	Eligibility Declaration	T	1	OK		Ж		УО		ЖО		OK	
Qualification Cabulity Ending of Assignments Projects Capability Capability Capability Capability Statement And Ending of Assignments Projects Capability	Format 1	Authorisation to attend pre-EOI Conference		1	УО		Ж		ΝO		Ą		УО	
Performance Statement of Substitution of Assignments/Projects Statement Not Given OK OK </td <td>Form 2</td> <td>Qualification</td> <td>ı</td> <td>Î</td> <td>УО</td> <td></td> <td>УО</td> <td></td> <td>УO</td> <td></td> <td>УO</td> <td></td> <td>OK</td> <td></td>	Form 2	Qualification	ı	Î	УО		УО		УO		УO		OK	
Financial Statement Not Given Godays OK	Form 2.1	Performance Capability Statement	ı	t	Information of Assignments/Projects required		ЖО		ОК		OK		OK	
Financial Average Annual Average Annual Lumover — — OK OK OK OK OK Check list — Form 1 Annia Majumdar Ranjana Mehta-Dutt Rahul Chaudhry Dr Malathi Lakshnikumaran Dr Malathi Lakshnikumaran — Form 1 Annia Majumdar Beg1911830 9811911806 Pr Malathi Lakshnikumaran — Form 1 Form 1 Form 1 Form 1 Form 2 Form 3 Form 3 Form 3 Form 3 Form 3 Form 4 Form 3 Form 4	Form 2.2	Financial Capability Statement	ı	ı	CA certified Statement Not Given		Ж		OK		χ		ОК	
Average Annual — — — OK	Form 2.2.1	Financial Statement	I	1	ОК		ОК		УО		OK		ОК	
Check list — — OK OK OK OK — — Form 1 Amrida Majumdar Ranjana Mehla-Duft Rahul Chaudhry Dr Malathi Lakshmikumaran — — Form 1 9903321795 9991011830 9811911806 9818301173 — — Form 1 60 days 90 days 120 days 120 days — — Form 1,1 YES YES YES YES Rahul Chaudhry & Control Call Form 1,2 Form 1,2 Form 1,2 Form 1,3 Form 1,3	Form 2.2.2	Average Annual Turnover		1	УÓ		УО		Ą		ý		ОК	
- Form 1 Amrita Majumdar Ranjana Mehta-Dutt Rahul Chaudhry Dr Matethi Lakshmikumaran - - Form 1 9903321795 9891011830 9811911806 9818301173 - - Form 1 60 days 90 days 60 days 120 days - - Form 1.1 YES YES YES	Form 3	Check list		1	OK		УÓ		ЖО		OK		OK	
Form 1 9903321795 9691011830 9811911806 9618301173 Form 1 60 days 90 days 120 days 120 days Form 1.1 YES YES YES YES Form 1.1 YES NES YES YES	Bid Representative Name	ſ	ı	Form 1	Amrita Majumdar		Ranjana Mehta-Dutt		Rahul Chaudhry		Dr Malathi Lakshmikumaran		Sanjeev Kumar Tiwari	
Form 1.1 YES 90 days 60 days 120 da	Mobile No.	1	1	Form 1	9903321795		9891011830		9811911806		9818301173		9871335217	
- Form 1.1 YES YES YES YES YES YES Service Contract of the con	Validity of EOI	1	ı	Form 1	60 days		90 days		60 days		120 days		60 days	
Rahul Chaudhry & Lakshmikumaran & Lakshmikumaran &	GSTIN	,	<u>'</u>	Form 1.1	YES		YES		YES		YES		YES	
Sindjulidal & CO Fall Remity & Sagar Pattners Pass Pattners Pass Sidharan Pass	Over all Pass (See 10.2.2 of EOI)				S Majumdar & CO	Fail	Remfry & Sagar	Pass		Pass		356	K & S Partners	Pace

my facely that they have

Hoc/21.

44

Delli.